

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/751,523	Applicant(s) YOSHIDA, TAKAKI	
	Examiner Phallaka Kik	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(3) _____

(2) Ramyar M. Farid (Reg. No. 46,692).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 January 2007

Time: 1:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,8,10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims still have 101 problems for failing to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend claims 1,8,10 as given in the attached Examiner's amendment to further add a step of "outputting the estimated supply voltage variation level" which is a tangible result that could be used by the designer and/or other circuit design tools to design circuits and/or to detect error portions